		memo 7671 # of pages > /5
To parl	naningo	From 7
Co.		Co.
Dept.		Phone #
Fax #		Fax#

COURT OF APPEAL-4TH DIST., DIV. 3 FILED

MAR 2 4 1998

Stephen M. Kelly, Clerk

CERTIFIED FOR PUBLICATION

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION THREE

JOHN F. DEAN,

Petitioner,

٧.

THE SUPERIOR COURT OF ORANGE COUNTY,

Respondent;

ROSALYN LEVER et al.,

Real Parties in Interest.

G023111

(Super. Ct. No. 791765)

OPINION

Original proceedings; petition for a writ of mandate to challenge an order of the Superior Court of Orange County, John C. Woolley, Judge. Writ issued.

Darryl R. Wold and Reed & Davidson for Petitioner.

No appearance for Respondent.

Mark S. Rosen for Real Party in Interest Darrell Opp.

No appearance for Real Party in Interest Rosalyn Lever.



court erred in not ordering the deletion.

Petitioner, a candidate for local office, seeks extraordinary writ relief mandating the Registrar of Voters delete part of an opponent's candidate statement as an impermissible personal attack on petitioner. We issue relief and conclude the superior

Darrell Opp seeks to unseat the incumbent petitioner, John Dean, as county superintendent of schools in the upcoming June 1998 election. Opp submitted a candidate statement to the Registrar of Voters which began with the following three paragraphs commenting on petitioner: "[¶] The incumbent, John Dean, is failing our schools, our children and the taxpayers. [¶] Under Dean's tenure \$250,000,000 (Two Hundred and Fifty Million!) was borrowed to gamble in the bankrupt Citron investment pool. Dean personally authorized this borrowing. He is one of the few remaining county officials who has not resigned or been removed from office for his role in this fiasco. [¶] Dean's 'leadership' over the last eight years resulted in massive increases in the size of the County bureaucracy. Elected on a platform to cut the county budget, instead his budget has increased from \$64 Million to over \$105 Million."

Dean challenged Opp's candidate statement by seeking a writ of mandate in the superior court pursuant to Elections Code section 13313.² That section authorizes the court to mandate amendment or deletion of material in the voter's pamphlet which is "false, misleading, or inconsistent with the requirements of this chapter;"

Dean sought relief on two grounds: (1) deletion of the first three paragraphs (quoted above) "because they consist entirely of material that is not permitted to be included in a candidate's statement" and (2) deletion of four false and misleading

The statement continues with a recitation of Opp's background, his platform for the Count Department of Education, and a list of individuals who have endorsed his candidacy.

² All further references are to the Elections Code unless otherwise noted.

sentences in the second and third paragraphs of the statement. The trial court denied the request to delete the first three paragraphs in their entirety, but did direct amendments to the second and third paragraphs.³ The only issue presented here is whether the lower court erred in refusing to delete the first three paragraphs in their entirety.

Section 13307 delineates the contents of a candidate's statement for local office, as well as the procedures for inclusion of such a statement in the voter's pamphlet. In pertinent part, the statutes provides: "(a)(1) Each candidate for nonpartisan elective office in any local agency, including any city, county, city and county, or district, may prepare a candidate's statement on an appropriate form provided by the elections official. The statement may include the name, age and occupation of the candidate and a brief description, of no more than 200 words, of the candidate's education and qualifications expressed by the candidate himself or herself. However, the governing body of the local agency may authorize an increase in the limitations on words for the statement from 200 to 400 words. The statement shall not include the party affiliation of the candidate, nor membership or activity in partisan political organizations."

"[T]he interpretation and applicability of a statute is a question of law."

(City of Petaluma v. County of Sonoma (1993) 12 Cal.App.4th 1239, 1244.) In reviewing petitioner's claim, we are guided by well settled rules of statutory interpretation. The most fundamental of these rules is that where the statute is clear, the "plain meaning" rule applies. The Legislature is presumed to have meant what it said, and the plain meaning of the language governs. (Great Lakes Properties, Inc. v. City of El Segundo (1977) 19 Cal.3d 152, 155.) "If the language is clear and unambiguous there

The court directed the second paragraph be amended to read: "During Dean's tenure \$250,000,000 (Two Hundred and Fifty Million!) was borrowed to invest in the risky Citron investment pool. Dean should have prevented this borrowing. He is one of the few remaining bankruptcy-era county officials who has not resigned or been removed from office." The court directed the third paragraph amended to read: "Dean's 'leadership' over the last eight years resulted in massive increases in the size of the County Department of Education bureaucracy. Elected on a platform to cut the Department's budget, instead his budget has increased from \$64 Million to over \$105 Million."-

is no need for construction, nor is it necessary to resort to indicia of the intent of the Legislature" (Delaney v. Superior Court (1990) 50 Cal.3d 785, 798.)

The language of section 13307 is unambiguous. "The statement may include the name, age and occupation of the candidate and a brief description . . . of the candidate's education and qualifications" As noted by the Supreme Court in Clark v. Burleigh (1992) 4 Cal.4th 474, "[t]he negative implication of this specific list, of course, is that the Legislature did not intend the statutory candidate's statement to contain any other material: expressio unius est exclusio alterius. (Citation omitted.)" (Id., at p. 489, emphasis in original.)

Opp argues Clark is distinguishable because section 13308, which governs judicial elections, contains specific limitations prohibiting comments on another candidate's qualifications, character or activities. Clark first found the implied intent to limit the statement from the same words used in the statute we review here. The additional language specific to judicial elections demonstrates additional express intent. But express intent is unnecessary here under the maxim expressio unius est exclusio alterius. "The expression of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressed." (Gikas v. Zolin (1993) 6 Cal.4th 841, 852, citing Dyna-Med, Inc. v. Fair Employment & Housing Com. (1987) 43 Cal.3d 1379, 1391, fn. 13; see also Lake v. Reed (1997) 16 Cal.4th 448, 466-467.) Here, the statute expressly authorizes comment's on one's own qualifications, to the exclusion of comments on an opponent's qualifications.

We have received a reply from real party in interest Opp. Further proceedings would add nothing to our review. (See *Palma* v. *U.S. Industrial Fasteners*, *Inc.* (1984) 36 Cal.3d 171, 180.) The relevant law and facts are entirely clear. (Ng v.

⁴ Clark interpreted sections 10012 and 10012.1, the predecessors to sections 13307 and 13308. (See Stats. 1994, ch. 920, § 2.) -

Superior Court (1992) 4 Cal.4th 29, 35.) Consequently, additional briefing and oral argument would serve no useful purpose. (Alexander v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 1218, 1222-1223.) Moreover, time is of the essence. (See Elec. Code, § 13314, subd. (a)(3).)

Let a peremptory writ of mandate issue directing the Registrar of Voters to delete the first three paragraphs of Opp's candidate statement from the voter's pamphlet and to print the Opp candidate statement in the voter's pamphlet without those three paragraphs. To prevent mootness and to prevent frustration of the relief granted, this court's decision shall be final upon filing of the opinion. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 24(d).)

SILLS, P. J.

WE CONCUR:

WALLIN, J.

RYLAARSDAM, J.

б

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MARK S. ROSEN (Bar No. 72431) Attorney at Law 2700 N. Main Street Suite 630 Santa Ana, CA 92705 (714)972-8040

Attorney for Real Party in Interest Darrell Opp

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE

JOHN DEAN,

v.

Petitioner,

Case No. 791765 (Assigned to Judge Woolley, Dept. 6)

SUPPLEMENTAL

PEREMPTORY WRIT OF MANDATE

ROSALYN LEVER, Registrar of Voters,

Respondent.

16 DARRELL OPP,

Real Party in Interest.

DATE: March 26, 1998

TIME: 1:30 p.m.

DEPT: 6

TO RESPONDENT REGISTRAR OF VOTERS ROSALYN LEVER:

Pursuant to the order entered by this court in the abovecaptioned matter amending the judgment heretofore entered, you are hereby ordered to augment the candidate statement of Darrell Opp by printing the candidate statement attached hereto.

24

25

26

27 28

Z 8 - 4

DATED:

MAR 2 6 1998

ALAN SLATER

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

by K. Hnoton, Depur

K. HOUTON



DARRELL OPP CANDIDATE STATEMENT

variation # 6 March 26, 1998- 98 words in bold

-I have the qualifications and exedentials to restore a failing County Department of Education.

I am an educator and businessman with 28 years experience in the classroom and the boardroom. Currently, I am the Chief Education Officer for our county's Regional Occupation Program. My wife, Barbara, and I are taising a teenage son in our public schools. We know first hand, the realities of the public school system.

My management philosophy is conservative. I will not support risky investments and I will demand full accountability from all employees and contractors to the Department of Education.

My education philosophy is also conservative. I believe in teaching the basics and increasing access to new technology, especially computer skills. Our public school? mission must be to provide our children with the skills they need to complete in the real world. That has been my job for the last 25 years.

My platform for the County Department of Education:

- Reduce the size of the County Department by 10% annually for the next four years and return those funds to our local schools.
- Support local control of schools
- Increase fiscal accountability of the Department of Education
- Replace Bilingual education and teach our children in English.

Hundreds of educational and civic leaders from throughout Orange County have endorsed my call for new leadership, including (partial listing due to space limitations):

- US Congressman Ed Royce
- Orange County Supervisors Jim Silva and Chuck Smith
- Irvine School Boardmember Margie Wakeham
- Anaheim Union High School District President Harald Martin
- North Orange County Community College Board VP Leonard Lahtinen
- Capistrano Unified Boardmember Marlene Draper
- Garden Grove Boardmembers Lynn Hamtil & Ken Slimmer
- Westminster Boardmember Michael Verrengia
- Santa Ana Unified Boardmember Rob Balen
- Former Boardmembers Tim Carlyle, LBUSD, Bud Henry, TUSD, Forrest Wemer, Newport Mesa USD
- Retired Superintendents Ed Dundon (Garden Grove) & John Nicoll (Newport)
- Mission Viejo Mayor Susan Withrow & Councilmonibers William Crayeraft, Tom Potocki, Larry Smith & Sherri Butterfield
- Santa Ana Mayor ProTem Rob Richardson & Councilman Tom Lutz
- Orange Mayor Joanne Coontz
- Laguna Niguel Mayor ProTem Mark Goodman
- Huntington Beach Mayor ProTem Peter Green & Councilman Tom Harmon
- San Juan Capistrano Mayor David Swerdlin & Councilman Wyatt Hart
- Stanton Councilmen Brian Donahue & Harry Dotson
- Wesminster Councilman Tony Lam
- Garden Grove Mayor Bruce Broadwater & Councilman Mark Leyes
- Tustin Mayor Jeff Thomas & Mayor ProTem Tracy Worley
- State Assemblywoman Marilyn Brewer I ask you to join-me in the quest for excellence.

Please feel free to call me at 714-494-4382 or reach me on-line at oppded@Earthlink.net.

MARK S. ROSEN (Bar No. 72431) Attorney at Law 2700 N. Main Street Suite 630 Santa Ana, CA 92705 (714)972-8040

DRANGE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT MAR 26 1998 ALAN SLATER, Executive Officer/Clerk DEPUTY

Attorney for Real Party in Interest Darrell Opp

6

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

JOHN DEAN,

DARRELL OPP,

v.

petitioner,

Respondent.

Real Party in Interest.

12

13

ROSALYN LEVER, Registrar of Voters, 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE

> Case No. 791765 (Assigned to Judge Woolley, Dept. 6)

AMENDMENT TO JUDGMENT TO AUGMENT CANDIDATE STATEMENT

DATE: March 26, 1998

DEPT: 6

TIME: 1:30 p.m.

Real Party in Interest Darrell Opp's ex parte application to modify candidate statement in light of an order of the Court of Appeal came on for hearing on March 26, 1998, in Department 6 of the above-entitled court, the Honorable John C. Woolley, Judge Presiding. Darryl R. Wold of Reed & Davidson appeared for Petitioner John F. Dean; Mark S. Rosen appeared for Real Party in Interest Darrell Opp; and Benjamin P. deMayo appeared for Respondent Rosalyn Lever, Registrar of Voters of the County of Orange. The court having considered the papers filed in this case, 3

4

6

7

٥

11

12

13

14

15

16

MAR 2 6 1998

17

DATED:

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

the opinion of the Court of Appeal filed March 24, 1998, and the arguments of the parties,

IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED, ORDERED, AND DECREED as follows:

- 1. This matter shall be decided on an ex parte basis due to the fact that the Registrar of Voters intends to commence publication of the voters pamphlet tomorrow, March 27, 1998.
- 2. Good cause having been shown to amend the judgment of this court because of the decision of the Court of Appeal, and within the constraints of Elections Code \$13307 as expressed by the Court of Appeal, real party in interest Opp may augment his candidate statement by adding text up to the 400 words permitted to him under Elections Code \$13307.
- 3. The candidate statement as augmented is attached hereto and shall be printed as Opp's candidate statement by the Registrar of Voters.

JOHN C. WOOLLEY

THE HONORABLE JOHN C. WOOLLEY Orange County Superior Court Judge

DARRELL OPP CANDIDATE STATEMENT

variation # 6 March 26, 1998- 98 words in bold

the qualifications and credentials to restore a falling County Department of Edgestioner

I am an educator and businessman with 28 years experience in the classroom and the boardroom. Currently, I am the Chief Education Officer for our county's Regional Occupation Program. My wife, Barbara, and I are raising a teenage son in our public schools. We know first hand, the realities of the public school system.

My management philosophy is conservative. I will not support risky investments and I will demand full accountability from all employees and contractors to the Department of Education.

My education philosophy is also conservative. I believe in teaching the basics and increasing access to new technology, especially computer skills. Our public schooles mission must be to provide our children with the skills they need to complete in the real world. That has been my job for the last 25 years.

My platform for the County Department of Education:

- Reduce the size of the County Department by 10% annually for the next four years and return those funds to our local schools.
- Support local control of schools.
- Increase fiscal accountability of the Department of Education
- Replace Bilingual education and teach our children in English.

Hundreds of educational and civic leaders from throughout Orange County have endorsed my call for new leadership, including (partial listing due to space limitations):

- US Congressman Ed Royce
- Orange County Supervisors Jim Silva and Chuck Smith
- Irvine School Boardmember Margie Wakeham
- Anaheim Union High School District President Harald Martin
- North Orange County Community College Board VP Leonard Lahtinen
- Capistrano Unified Boardmember Marlene Draper
- Garden Grove Boardmembers Lynn Hamtil & Ken Slimmer
- Westminster Boardmernber Michael Verrengie
- Santa Ana Unified Boardmember Rob Balen
- Former Boardmembers Tim Carlyle, LBUSD, Bud Henry, TUSD, Forrest Werner, Newport Mesa USD
- Retired Superintendents Ed Dundon (Garden Grove) & John Nicoll (Newport)
- Mission Viejo Mayor Susan Withrow & Councilmembers William Crayeran, Tom Potocki, Larry Smith & Sherri Butterfield
- Santa Ana Mayor ProTem Rob Richardson & Councilman Tom Lutz
- Orange Mayor Jounne Coontz
- Laguna Niguel Mayor ProTem Mark Goodman
- Huntington Beach Mayor ProTem Peter Green & Councilman Tom Harmon
- San Juan Capistrano Mayor David Swordlin & Councilman Wyatt Hart
- Stanton Councilmen Brian Donahue & Harry Dotson
- Wesminster Councilman Tony Lam
- Garden Grove Mayor Bruce Broadwater & Councilman Mark Leyes
- Tustin Mayor Jeff Thomas & Mayor ProTem Tracy Worley
- State Assemblywoman Marilyn Brewer I ask you to join me in the quest for excellence.

Please [eel free to call me at 714-494-4382 or reach me on-line at opp4cd@Earthlink.net.

٠,

```
MARK'S. ROSEN (Bar Mo. 72431)
Attorney at Law
2700 M. Main Street
Suite 630
Santa Ana, CA 92705
(714)972-8040
Attorney for Real Party in Interest
Darrell Opp
6
```

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF CRANGE

11 JOHN DEAN,

Petitioner,

Case No. 791765
(Assigned to Judge Woolley,
Dept. 6)

PEREMPTORY WRIT OF MANDATE

13 V.
14 ROSALYN LEVER, Registrar of Voters,

Respondent.

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

8

. 10

-12

DARRELL OPP.

Real Party in Interest.

DATE: March 20, 1998

TIME: 2:00 p.m.

DEPT: 6

to respondent registrar of voters rosalyn lever:

Pursuant to the judgment entered by this court in the abovecaptioned matter, you are hereby ordered to make the following changes in the candidate statement of Darrell Opp:

"During Deam's tenure \$250,000,000 (Two Hundred and Pifty Million!) was borrowed to invest in the risky Citron investment pool. Deam should have prevented this borrowing. He is one of the few remaining bankruptcy-era county officials who has not resigned

1

95%

IT IS HERREY ADJUDGED, ORDERED, AND DECREED as follows:

- 1. Petitioner's request for an order to delete in their entirety the first three paragraphs of the candidate statement of Darrell Opp is denied.
- 2. A peremptory writ of mandate shall issue under the seal of this court ordering Respondent Rosalyn Lever, as Registrar of Voters for the County of Orange, to make the following changes in the candidate statement of Darrell Opp:
- a. The second paragraph shall be amended to read:
 "During Dean's tenure \$250,000,000 (Two Hundred and Fifty
 Million!) was borrowed to invest in the risky Citron investment
 pool. Dean should have prevented this borrowing. He is one of the
 few remaining bankruptcy-era county officials who has not resigned
 or been removed from office."
- b. The third paragraph shall be amended to read:
 "Dean's 'leadership' over the last eight years resulted in massive increases in the size of the County Department of Education bureaucracy. Elected on a platform to cut the Department's budget, instead his budget has increased from \$64 Million to over \$105 Million."

20 21

9

10

- 11

... 13

14

15

16

17

. 18

19

DATED: MAR 2 3 1998

JOHN'C. WOOLLEY

THE HOMORABLE JOHN C. WOOLLEY Orange County Superior Court Judge

24

. 23

:25

..26

27

- 28

2

or been removed from office."

MAR 2 3 1998

b. The third paragraph shall be amended to read: "Dean's 'leadership' over the last eight years resulted in massive increases in the size of the County Department of Education bureaucracy. Elected on a platform to cut the Department's budget, instead his budget has increased from \$64 Million to over \$105 Million."

Atan Slater, exec. officen/clerk

JODI GAMBOA

DATED:

11 12

10

8

2

. 13

. 16

17

18

"19

. 20 -21

-22

., 23

: 24

_ 25 . 26

~27

28